IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS CENTRAL DIVISION

ROY HOLMES, TINA ALEXANDER, PATRICK NORRIS, and MELISSA GARNER, Each Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated **PLAINTIFFS** 

VS.

Case No. 4:20-cv-191-DPM

STETSON COURIER, INC. and JOHN STETSON

**DEFENDANTS** 

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO EXTEND THE POST-JUDGMENT DISCOVERY
DEADLINE AND COMPEL DEPOSITION APPEARANCE

Plaintiffs Roy Holmes, Tina Alexander, Patrick Norris, and Melissa Garner, by and through their attorneys of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, for their Motion to Extend the Post-Judgment Discovery Deadline and Compel Deposition Appearance, state as follows:

- 1. On 1 May 2024, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Reopen Discovery, requesting leave to conduct post-judgment discovery in order to proceed with collection efforts against Defendants. ECF No. 166. This Court granted the Motion on 22 May 2024. ECF No. 167.
- 2. Plaintiffs served Defendants with their First Sets of Post-Judgment Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents on 7 June 2024. Defendants served their responses on 19 July 2024, but the responses were merely boilerplate answers and did not contain any of the information Plaintiffs requested. See Def. John Stetson's Answers to Discovery, attached as Ex. 1.

Page 1 of 3
Roy Holmes, et al. v. Stetson Courier, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:20-cv-191-DPM
Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Post-Judgment Discovery Deadline and Compel Deposition Appearance

Case 4:20-cv-00191-DPM Document 171 Filed 08/23/24 Page 2 of 3

Plaintiffs served Defendant John Stetson with a Notice of Deposition on

July 15, 2024. The deposition was to be conducted at Plaintiffs' counsel's office on 16

August 2024. See Notice of Oral Dep. of John Stetson, attached as Ex. 2. Defendant

Stetson failed to appear at the properly noticed deposition.

4. Plaintiffs have reason to believe Defendants fraudulently dissolved

"Stetson Courier" and are currently doing business under the name "Jetsun Courier" in

order to avoid the judgment in this case but have not been able to verify this information

because of Defendants' failure to respond to the post-judgment discovery served or

appear at the noticed deposition.

3.

5. This Court may enter an order directing Defendant Stetson to appear at

oral deposition via its contempt powers, but only after the Court first enters an order

compelling Defendant Stetson's appearance. Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart, 467 U.S.

20, 30 (1984); Schleper v. Ford Motor Co., Auto. Div., 585 F.2d 1367, 1371 (8th Cir.

1978).

6. The post-judgment discovery deadline is currently 30 August 2024.

7. Plaintiffs respectfully request an additional 90 days in which to conduct

post-judgment discovery and an Order from the Court compelling John Stetson's

appearance at oral deposition on 20 September 2024, 1:00 p.m. Central Time, at 10800

Financial Centre Parkway, Suite 510, Little Rock, Arkansas 72211.

8. This extension will not cause undue delay or prejudice any party.

9. Counsel for Plaintiffs has conferred with counsel for Defendant Stetson

Courier, Inc., regarding its stance as to this Motion and Defendant Stetson Courier, Inc.

does not object to the requested extension of the post-judgment discovery period or that

Page 2 of 3 Roy Holmes, et al. v. Stetson Courier, et al. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:20-cv-191-DPM the oral deposition of Mr. Stetson be taken. Defendant Stetson Courier, Inc.'s lack of

objection to the relief requested by Plaintiff in this Motion is not and should not be

construed as an admission to any of the factual allegations set forth in the Motion.

10. Defendant John Stetson is now pro se and has failed to engage with

Plaintiffs' discovery requests or his previous counsel. See Renewed Motion to Withdraw

as Counsel, ECF 168. Therefore, Plaintiffs assume his position is Opposed.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiffs respectfully request an order of

this Court extending the post-judgment discovery deadline for an additional 90 days and

compelling John Stetson to appear for oral deposition, and for such other relief as may

be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

ROY HOLMES, TINA ALEXANDER, PATRICK NORRIS and MELISSA

**GARNER, PLAINTIFFS** 

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC

Kirkpatrick Plaza

10800 Financial Centre Pkwy, Suite 510

Little Rock, Arkansas 72211

Telephone: (800) 615-4946

Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037

josh@sanfordlawfirm.com